# Peertechz



OPEN JOURNAL OF Biological Sciences @ SEEMAGCESS

ISSN: 2640-779

95 DOI: https

Doi. https://ux.doi.org/

#### **Literature Review**

# Updates in management of abdominal sepsis

Mirla Fontes de Araujo Borges<sup>1</sup>, Roosveni de Sousa Lacerda<sup>1</sup>, Igor de Sousa Oliveira<sup>1</sup> and Sávio Benvindo Ferreira<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Medical student, Life Scienses Unit (UACV), Teacher Training Center (CFP), Federal University of Campina Grande (UFCG), 58900-000, Cajazeiras, Paraíba, Brazil.

<sup>2</sup>PhD in Pharmacology, Professor of Microbiology, Academic Unit of Life (UACV), Teacher Training Center (CFP), Federal University of Campina Grande (UFCG), 58900-000, Cajazeiras, Paraíba, Brazil

Received: 02 March, 2023 Accepted: 28 March, 2023 Published: 29 March, 2023

\*Corresponding author: Sávio Benvindo Ferreira, PhD in Pharmacology, Professor of Microbiology, Academic Unit of Life (UACV), Teacher Training Center (CFP), Federal University of Campina Grande (UFCG), 58900-000, Cajazeiras, Paraíba, Brazil, Tel: +55 83999256517; E-mail: savio.benvindo@professor.ufcg.edu.br

ORCiD: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8838-4755

Keywords: Sepsis; Intra-abdominal; Therapeutic

**Copyright License:** © 2023 De Araujo Borges MF, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

https://www.peertechzpublications.com

Check for updates

#### Abstract

Sepsis is an organ dysfunction caused by a disproportionate host response to infection. The condition is responsible for 1 in every 3 admissions to an intensive care unit (ICU) and about 6 million deaths worldwide. As a result of the imbalance in the inflammatory response, immune dysfunction, mitochondrial damage and other pathophysiological processes, sepsis progresses extremely. This research aimed to search the literature for updates inherent to the therapeutic approach of patients with diseases secondary to intra-abdominal sepsis. The present work is a narrative review with a bibliographical survey carried out in the PUBMED and Virtual Health Library (VHL) research bases, through the search strategy using the following descriptors, in both virtual libraries: "sepsis *OR* surviving *sepsis*" AND "intra abdominal infection" AND "therapeutic". At the end of reading the articles in full, ten papers were selected, from which it was observed that new recommendations regarding volume operative source control, and use of additional therapies therapy. The open abdomen technique is being further explored, as well as more in-depth studies on new drugs for this condition, such as acetylcholinesterase inhibitors, and the use of glucocorticoids became contraindicated, due to low scientific evidence. Therefore, it is concluded that, as it is a medical emergency with high mortality, it is relevant to develop scientific research aimed at the effectiveness, feasibility and safety of new forms of management.

#### Introduction

Sepsis is characterized as an organic deregulation capable of leading the patient to death and has as a causal factor a deregulated host response to infection. Septic shock is a subset of sepsis where circulatory, cellular and metabolic abnormalities are responsible for increased mortality. Treatment should be started as soon as possible, which is a crucial factor for a good prognosis [1–3].

Worldwide, sepsis is estimated to affect more than 30 million people each year, potentially leading to 6 million deaths. Being responsible for the admissions of 1 in 3 patients to the intensive care unit [4-6]. In the Latin American scenario, the mortality rate due to sepsis, in Brazilian private and public hospitals, ranges from 30% to 70%, respectively [7].

In general, erythrocytes attract and maintain bacteria by

an electrical charge on their surface. Such friction stimulates the immediate release of oxygen from the oxyhemoglobin to the surface and finally, the released oxygen oxidizes and kills the bacteria. This is the common mechanism of host innate immunity for bacteria to be killed when they reach the bloodstream, however, some species have developed numerous virulence factors that corroborate their survival to oxidation [8–10].

Among these, the main ones are the formation of Capsular Polysaccharides (CPS), loss of the cell wall (L-shapes) and the production of biofilms, which represent a highly resistant defense against antibiotic treatment. Thus, the main bacteria that cause sepsis have some of these mechanisms, they are: *Staphylococcus aureus, Streptococcus pneumoniae, Haemophilus influenzae, Neisseria meningitidis, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Escherichia coli,* group B streptococci [11–13].

001

In cases of sepsis due to complicated Intra-Abdominal Infections (IAIc), the etiology is typically polymicrobial. Among gram-negative pathogens, enterobacteria are the most prevalent. In relation to gram-positives, *enterococci* represent approximately half of the isolates [8].

Because of this complexity, the clinical presentation of sepsis is diverse, given that patients with IAIc usually present with rapid onset abdominal pain and signs of local and systemic inflammation (pain, tenderness, fever, increased white blood cell count, tachycardia and /or tachypnea). Hypotension and signs of hypoperfusion such as oliguria, acute altered mental status and lactic acidosis are indicative of ongoing organ failure [14].

In this scenario, factors related to mortality include time to start antibiotic therapy, infection control, and fluid infusion, in addition to factors intrinsic to patients, such as age and comorbidities [6,15].

The therapeutic approach to bacterial infections in hospital environments is one of the greatest challenges for health professionals, due to the increasing prevalence of multidrug-resistant bacteria. Microorganisms that are resistant to antibiotic therapy increase morbidity, mortality, length of hospital stay and medical costs. Delays in initiation of appropriate antimicrobial therapy tend to increase morbidity and mortality among infected patients, as well as extend hospitalization time [15–18].

In this sense, despite advances in diagnosis, surgery and antimicrobial therapy, the rates of mortality and progression to septic shock associated with complicated intra-abdominal sepsis have remained extremely high [19]. Thus, the objective of the present study is to search the literature for updates inherent to the therapeutic approach of patientes with diseases secondary to sepsis with an intra-abdominal focus, characterizing the study as a narrative reviwe on the aforementioned theme.

### **Materials and methods**

#### **Research characterization**

The present study is a narrative review of the literature, qualitative and descriptive-exploratory type. This review sought to gather and analyze scientific publications about updates in the treatment of intra-abdominal sepsis.

#### **Conducting the Investigation**

The keywords defined for the bibliographic research in question were chosen based on the Health Sciences Descriptors (DeCS), which are "sepsis", "intra-abdominal infection" and "therapeutic". To achieve the desired results, the descriptors were organized according to Boolean logic, using the AND and OR connectors. The organization of descriptors was as follows: "sepsis" OR "surviving sepsis" AND "intra abdominal infection" AND "therapeutic". The databases consulted were PUBMED/MEDLINE and the Virtual Health Library (VHL). Searches were carried out by two researchers using the same search strategies in the following databases: PUBMED/ MEDLINE and the Virtual Health Library (VHL). Based on inclusion and exclusion criteria, described below, the articles resulting from the search were selected in order to prioritize those that were more relevant to the theme of the present study. In this way, the researchers evaluated all the resulting articles from the title, abstract and even reading in full, to better qualify the choice of including or not in the study.

#### **Selection criteria**

During the search process in the bibliographic base, the following inclusion criteria were applied: direct relationship with the central theme of the research (treatment of sepsis with an abdominal focus); and scientific articles with full text available published in the last 5 years (2018–2023) in the languages: Portuguese, English and Spanish. Those that were duplicated and not available in their free full version, which addressed fungal sepsis and reviews articles, with the exception of guidelines and international guidelines, were discarded.

#### Presentation of findings and synthesis of information

From this, the results and discussions available on the subject that met the objective of the proposed work were compiled, as well as the main updates on the management of intra-abdominal sepsis. It is reiterated that, according to the methodology adopted, submission to the research ethics committee was not necessary.

#### **Results and discussions**

In all, 3308 articles were listed in the PUBMED/MEDLINE and VHL database results after applying the descriptors without any filter. Then, the filter of publications in the last 5 years was first applied, then the language filter (English, Portuguese, and Spanish) and finally, the full-text filter was available free of charge, obtaining 300 articles for analysis. After reading the titles and abstracts and some articles in full - due to the researchers' doubts as to whether they should be included in the study –, 10 publications were eligible to be used in the composition of this review, with a more accurate reading and analysis.

A Surviving Sepsis Campaign (CSS) 2021 was one of the works selected, as it deals with international guidelines on the management of sepsis and septic shock. It is worth mentioning that some studies that do not deal exclusively with sepsis with an abdominal focus were included, as they are randomized clinical studies, with high scientific relevance [20].

In view of this, it was reiterated that the principles for the treatment of sepsis are adequate resuscitation, early administration of medications, control of the operative source and frequent reassessments, considering that sepsis is a medical emergency [21,22].

However, the absence of a specific test, the various tools and biomarkers used, and the initial care of sepsis in pre-

Citation: De Araujo Borges MF, Sousa Lacerda RD, Sousa Oliveira ID, Ferreira SB (2023) Updates in management of abdominal sepsis. Open J Biol Sci 8(1): 001-007. DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.17352/ojbs.000033

002

hospital situations are clearly critical, the diagnosis and, consequently, the treatment are impaired. No sepsis scoring system behaves perfectly, and all seem to be largely dominated by organ dysfunction [19,23].

The Sequential Sepsis-related Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) score and the Sepsis Severity Score (WSES) were better predictors of poor outcomes, while the SOFA score appeared to be the best tool to identify patients at high risk of death and organ dysfunction induced by sepsis19. In another study, the WSES was very accurate in predicting mortality among our patients, allowing its generalization to all patient populations worldwide [23].

Furthermore, in intensive care units, the most common limitation is identifying patients with sepsis, especially in the elderly and immunocompromised, due to concomitant medical and surgical conditions that confuse the diagnosis. Another factor that compromises the treatment is the choice of the ideal moment for the administration of antibiotics [24].

A recent study evaluated 4792 patients receiving antimicrobial treatment in German hospitals, in which the primary outcome was 28-day mortality. There were no significant differences comparing treatment within 1h versus 1-3h, or 1h versus 3-6h. Delays greater than 6h significantly increased mortality. In addition, delay in antimicrobials also increased the risk of progression from severe sepsis to septic shock [25].

Furthermore, culture studies have failed to prove any source of infection in a large number of patients suspected of having sepsis. Approximately 30% – 89% of patients with sepsis have negative culture results. This fact is due to previous treatment with antibiotics, insufficient blood sampling, transport problems, and insufficient technique [26–29].

#### Volume resuscitation

Initial resuscitation should be done with at least 30 ml/ kg of intravenous crystalloid fluid in the first 3 hours. If it is necessary to maintain resuscitation, the criteria used to guide the introduction of fluids are the hemodynamic evaluation, the decrease in serum lactate, in cases where it is increased and, when not available, using the capillary refill time [20].

The ANDROMEDA-SHOCK study evaluated whether peripheral perfusion normalization-guided resuscitation (PPTR) was more effective than lactate-directed resuscitation (CRT), aiming to normalize lactate or decrease it at a rate of more than 20% per 2 hours during the 8-hour study period. At the end of the study period, 34.4% of patients in the PPTR group and 43.4% in the lactate group had died. However, this difference was not statistically significant (HR: 0,75; 95% CI, 0,55 to 1,02) [30].

Ultrasound measurement of the Inferior Vena Cava (IVC) diameter is a technique used to adapt fluid therapy in patients with shock and is widely used to help physicians predict responsiveness to fluid in patients with shock [31].

When comparing the 30-day mortality of patients with sepsis: a group treated with usual therapy and another by ultrasound-guided resuscitation of the IVC diameter, it was seen that there were no differences in survival between the two groups, only a lower amount of fluid used during the process in patients who used IVC diameter ultrasonography. However, the study addressed cases of sepsis induced by tissue hypoperfusion and septic shock [31].

#### **Antibiotic therapy**

Early administration of antibiotics is one of the most effective interventions to reduce mortality in patients with sepsis. The recommendation is that: for patients with septic shock, antimicrobials should be administered immediately, ideally within 1 hour of recognition; in the presence of suspected sepsis and absence of shock, antimicrobials should be administered within 3 hours if concern about infection persists [20,32].

In addition, the empirical use of broad-spectrum antibiotics and the combination of two antimicrobials for gram-negative coverage in patients at high risk for Multidrug-Resistant Organisms (MDR) is recommended.

To combat MDR organisms in cases of IAIc and complicated soft tissue infections, a new antibiotic is being analyzed: tigecycline. A cohort study evaluated the best dosage of the drug, from 68 patients with different body weights. It was observed that patients who received high doses (100 mg every 12 hours) had a lower mortality rate compared to patients who received normal doses. However, the study was unable to eliminate some confounding factors, such as the fact that monotherapy with tigecycline was only possible in a few patients [33].

Regarding the duration of antibiotic therapy after surgical control, the DURAPOP study included 21 French hospitals and ICU patients with intra-abdominal infection, in whom focus control had been achieved and who had received adequate antibiotic coverage. A total of 249 patients were randomized to either short-term (8 days) or long-term (15 days) regimens, with no differences in mortality or need for surgical reintervention between the two arms, so that short-term regimens were considered more effective [34,35].

#### **Operative source control**

Source control can be achieved by surgical (laparotomy or laparoscopy) or non-surgical (percutaneous drainage) means. The primary goals of these interventions are to identify the source of peritonitis, drain fluid collections and control the cause of abdominal sepsis [36].

It is currently rare to perform urgent surgery for intraabdominal abscess alone. Data showed that the number of percutaneous drainage for abdominal abscesses has doubled in the last ten years [37]. Surgery still remains essential for abscesses that cannot be accessed radiologically and infections associated with a source that requires excision [37].

The recent novelty lies in the potential benefits and complications of open abdomen (OA) therapy. Indications

for OA therapy would include severe IAI or abdominal sepsis, intra-abdominal hypertension or abdominal compartment syndrome, dehiscence and ongoing intra-abdominal bleeding. The goal of OA in patients with IAI is to achieve early source control [37].

Regarding open abdomen surgery, fifty acute patients in the context of damage control surgery for sepsis for abdominal disease, non-traumatized, were treated with the open abdomen technique and temporary abdominal closure by active negative partial pressure therapy (NPWT). Of these, two-thirds survived, despite the high morbidity, with a high rate of overall complications (58.8%). It has been demonstrated that the OA and DDC technique are valuable tools, but that they demand multiple reoperations and long ICU stays [38].

When assessing outcomes after DDS in patients with perforated colonic diverticulitis secondary to Hinchey III Acute Diverticulitis (ACD), it was found that the most common method of Temporary Abdominal Closure (TAC) was NPWT therapy and that a mean of 35 % of patients developed septic shock [39,40].

In the case of patients who suffered penetrating trauma and underwent laparotomy, the main factors related to the development of abdominal sepsis and abdominal infections were precisely the need for surgery to monitor damage or management with an open abdomen, as well as the prolonged hospitalization time [41].

A retrospective study analyzed 111 patients affected by abdominal sepsis and treated with an open abdomen over nine years. It has been reported that early source control of patients with severe IAI using an open abdomen could significantly improve outcome [42].

In a study conducted in a tertiary hospital in Somalia, source control was achieved by laparotomy in 81%, while the 19% were managed in a percutaneous approach. In a country with few resources, percutaneous drainage is considered more appropriate, as it provides a shorter hospital stay and procedure and can be performed at the bedside for patients admitted to the ICU without the risk of anesthesia, leading to lower morbidity [43].

#### Acetylcholinesterase inhibitors

The parasympathetic autonomic nervous system has been the focus of studies for the treatment of sepsis due to the Cholinergic Anti-Inflammatory Pathway (CAP). The mechanism is based on an inflammatory reflex of the vagus nerve, by which the parasympathetic nervous system can suppress systemic inflammation and thus protect against cytokine-mediated diseases [44].

From this, neostigmine, when used as adjuvant therapy in patients with sepsis compared to placebo, showed better results with regard to significant improvements in the change of SOFA score (Sequential Organ Failure Assessment) in 5 days of treatment. Furthermore, regarding secondary outcomes, mortality, and survival did not present significant differences and the length of stay in the ICU was reduced in patients who used neostigmine [44].

Another drug, physostigmine, also showed promise when randomized to 20 patients with perioperative septic shock due to intra-abdominal infection. The primary result was based on mean SOFA scores during treatment and up to 14 days, noting that physostigmine salicylate was well tolerated, presenting itself as a viable and safe option, although it needs further studies [45].

#### **Glucocorticoids**

Despite having an unknown role in sepsis, recent work has suggested that low-dose glucocorticoids do not reduce mortality, but are associated with a shorter duration of artificial organ support in the treatment of sepsis. Currently, intravenous hydrocortisone is no longer recommended to treat adult patients with septic shock [9,46,47].

Treatment with hydrocortisone, evaluated in a prospective observational study, demonstrated a potential antiinflammatory, hemodynamic reversal, and stability effect when compared to the non-hydrocortisone group [48]. However, among mechanically ventilated patients with septic shock, a continuous infusion of hydrocortisone did not result in lower 90-day mortality than a placebo [49].

When associated with vitamin C and thiamine, in the VICTAS study, the hypothesis was tested that the association of these substances would improve the clinical outcome in patients with respiratory and/or circulatory failure induced by sepsis. It was found that there was no significant improvement for these individuals [50].

A similar analysis was performed in the VITAMINS trial, where the combination of drugs was compared with the use of hydrocortisone alone, which did not show more efficacy in reducing the time alive and free of vasopressors over 7 days [51].

#### Conclusion

The present study demonstrated that there were updates on the management of sepsis, mainly in relation to volume resuscitation, the use of new antibiotics for antibiotic therapy, the exploration of new surgical techniques and the use of acetylcholinesterase inhibitors. However, that research found as limiting factors in its execution, the lack of protocol standards that guarantee a fast and correct diagnosis, especially when it comes to immunosuppressed and elderly patients. Thus, as this is a condition with high mortality, research into the efficacy, feasibility and safety of new forms of treatment should be encouraged.

Therefore, this study will be useful for future research that seeks updated information on the main surgical and therapeutic approaches for the management of patients affected by sepsis with an abdominal focus, as it brings wellestablished techniques and protocols in the current literature.

004

#### References

- Gavelli F, Castello LM, Avanzi GC. Management of sepsis and septic shock in the emergency department. Intern Emerg Med. 2021 Sep;16(6):1649-1661. doi: 10.1007/s11739-021-02735-7. Epub 2021 Apr 22. PMID: 33890208; PMCID: PMC8354945.
- Wolfertz N, Böhm L, Keitel V, Hannappel O, Kümpers P, Bernhard M, Michael M. Epidemiology, management, and outcome of infection, sepsis, and septic shock in a German emergency department (EpiSEP study). Front Med (Lausanne). 2022 Oct 17;9:997992. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2022.997992. PMID: 36325382; PMCID: PMC9618593.
- Singer M, Deutschman CS, Seymour CW, Shankar-Hari M, Annane D, Bauer M, Bellomo R, Bernard GR, Chiche JD, Coopersmith CM, Hotchkiss RS, Levy MM, Marshall JC, Martin GS, Opal SM, Rubenfeld GD, van der Poll T, Vincent JL, Angus DC. The Third International Consensus Definitions for Sepsis and Septic Shock (Sepsis-3). JAMA. 2016 Feb 23;315(8):801-10. doi: 10.1001/ jama.2016.0287. PMID: 26903338; PMCID: PMC4968574.
- Bauer M, Gerlach H, Vogelmann T, Preissing F, Stiefel J, Adam D. Mortality in sepsis and septic shock in Europe, North America and Australia between 2009 and 2019- results from a systematic review and meta-analysis. Crit Care. 2020 May 19;24(1):239. doi: 10.1186/s13054-020-02950-2. PMID: 32430052; PMCID: PMC7236499.
- 5. Sartelli M, Coccolini F, Kluger Y, Agastra E, Abu-Zidan FM, Abbas AES, Ansaloni L, Adesunkanmi AK, Atanasov B, Augustin G, Bala M, Baraket O, Baral S, Biffl WL, Boermeester MA, Ceresoli M, Cerutti E, Chiara O, Cicuttin E, Chiarugi M, Coimbra R, Colak E, Corsi D, Cortese F, Cui Y, Damaskos D, De' Angelis N, Delibegovic S, Demetrashvili Z, De Simone B, de Jonge SW, Dhingra S, Di Bella S, Di Marzo F, Di Saverio S, Dogjani A, Duane TM, Enani MA, Fugazzola P. Galante JM. Gachabavov M. Ghnnam W. Gkiokas G. Gomes CA. Griffiths EA. Hardcastle TC, Hecker A, Herzog T, Kabir SMU, Karamarkovic A, Khokha V, Kim PK, Kim JI, Kirkpatrick AW, Kong V, Koshy RM, Kryvoruchko IA, Inaba K, Isik A, Iskandar K, Ivatury R, Labricciosa FM, Lee YY, Leppäniemi A, Litvin A, Luppi D, Machain GM, Maier RV, Marinis A, Marmorale C, Marwah S, Mesina C, Moore EE, Moore FA, Negoi I, Olaoye I, Ordoñez CA, Ouadii M, Peitzman AB, Perrone G. Pikoulis M. Pintar T. Pipitone G. Podda M. Rasa K. Ribeiro J. Rodrigues G. Rubio-Perez I, Sall I, Sato N, Sawyer RG, Segovia Lohse H, Sganga G, Shelat VG, Stephens I, Sugrue M, Tarasconi A, Tochie JN, Tolonen M, Tomadze G, Ulrych J, Vereczkei A, Viaggi B, Gurioli C, Casella C, Pagani L, Baiocchi GL, Catena F. WSES/GAIS/SIS-E/WSIS/AAST global clinical pathways for patients with intra-abdominal infections. World J Emerg Surg. 2021 Sep 25;16(1):49. doi: 10.1186/s13017-021-00387-8. PMID: 34563232; PMCID: PMC8467193.
- Fleischmann C, Scherag A, Adhikari NK, Hartog CS, Tsaganos T, Schlattmann P, Angus DC, Reinhart K; International Forum of Acute Care Trialists. Assessment of Global Incidence and Mortality of Hospital-treated Sepsis. Current Estimates and Limitations. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2016 Feb 1;193(3):259-72. doi: 10.1164/rccm.201504-07810C. PMID: 26414292.
- Morello LG, Dalla-Costa LM, Fontana RM, Netto ACS de O, Petterle RR, Conte D. Evaluation of clinical and epidemiological characteristics of patients with and without sepsis in the intensive care units of a tertiary hospital. einstein (São Paulo). 2019 Apr 15;17. DOI: 10.31744/einstein\_journal/2019A04476
- Minasyan H. Sepsis: mechanisms of bacterial injury to the patient. Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med. 2019 Feb 14;27(1):19. doi: 10.1186/s13049-019-0596-4. PMID: 30764843; PMCID: PMC6376788.
- Vallicelli C, Santandrea G, Sartelli M, Coccolini F, Ansaloni L, Agnoletti V, Bravi F, Catena F. Sepsis Team Organizational Model to Decrease Mortality for Intra-Abdominal Infections: Is Antibiotic Stewardship Enough? Antibiotics (Basel). 2022 Oct 23;11(11):1460. doi: 10.3390/antibiotics11111460. PMID: 36358115; PMCID: PMC9687019.
- Huang M, Cai S, Su J. The Pathogenesis of Sepsis and Potential Therapeutic Targets. Int J Mol Sci. 2019 Oct 29;20(21):5376. doi: 10.3390/ijms20215376. PMID: 31671729; PMCID: PMC6862039.

- Guo Y, Patil NK, Luan L, Bohannon JK, Sherwood ER. The biology of natural killer cells during sepsis. Immunology. 2018 Feb;153(2):190-202. doi: 10.1111/ imm.12854. Epub 2017 Nov 16. PMID: 29064085; PMCID: PMC5765373.
- Perés Wingeyer SD, Cunto ER, Nogueras CM, San Juan JA, Gomez N, de Larrañaga GF. Biomarkers in sepsis at time zero: intensive care unit scores, plasma measurements and polymorphisms in Argentina. J Infect Dev Ctries. 2012 Jul 23;6(7):555-62. doi: 10.3855/jidc.2108. PMID: 22842942.
- Ma Y, Zhou Y, Wu F, Ji W, Zhang J, Wang X. The Bidirectional Interactions Between Inflammation and Coagulation in Fracture Hematoma. Tissue Eng Part B Rev. 2019 Feb;25(1):46-54. doi: 10.1089/ten.TEB.2018.0157. Epub 2018 Oct 9. PMID: 30129875.
- 14. Li DJ, Fu H, Tong J, Li YH, Qu LF, Wang P, Shen FM. Cholinergic antiinflammatory pathway inhibits neointimal hyperplasia by suppressing inflammation and oxidative stress. Redox Biol. 2018 May;15:22-33. doi: 10.1016/j.redox.2017.11.013. Epub 2017 Nov 16. PMID: 29197233; PMCID: PMC5723281.
- 15. Candel FJ, Borges Sá M, Belda S, Bou G, Del Pozo JL, Estrada O, Ferrer R, González Del Castillo J, Julián-Jiménez A, Martín-Loeches I, Maseda E, Matesanz M, Ramírez P, Ramos JT, Rello J, Suberviola B, Suárez de la Rica A, Vidal P. Current aspects in sepsis approach. Turning things around. Rev Esp Quimioter. 2018 Aug;31(4):298-315. Epub 2018 Jun 25. PMID: 29938972; PMCID: PMC6172679.
- Salomão R, Ferreira BL, Salomão MC, Santos SS, Azevedo LCP, Brunialti MKC. Sepsis: evolving concepts and challenges. Braz J Med Biol Res. 2019;52(4):e8595. doi: 10.1590/1414-431X20198595. Epub 2019 Apr 15. PMID: 30994733; PMCID: PMC6472937.
- 17. Gauer R, Forbes D, Boyer N. Sepsis: Diagnosis and Management. Am Fam Physician. 2020 Apr 1;101(7):409-418. PMID: 32227831.
- Membrilla-Fernández E, Gómez-Zorrilla S, González-Castillo AM, Pelegrina-Manzano A, Guzmán-Ahumada J, Prim N, Echeverria-Esnal D, Grau-Cerrato S, Horcajada-Gallego JP, Badía JM, Sancho-Insenser JJ. Scientific evidence of the duration of antibiotic treatment in intra-abdominal infections with surgical focus control. Cir Esp (Engl Ed). 2022 Oct;100(10):608-613. doi: 10.1016/j. cireng.2022.06.042. Epub 2022 Jun 24. PMID: 35760316.
- Evans L, Rhodes A, Alhazzani W, Antonelli M, Coopersmith CM, French C, Machado FR, Mcintyre L, Ostermann M, Prescott HC, Schorr C, Simpson S, Wiersinga WJ, Alshamsi F, Angus DC, Arabi Y, Azevedo L, Beale R, Beilman G, Belley-Cote E, Burry L, Cecconi M, Centofanti J, Coz Yataco A, De Waele J, Dellinger RP, Doi K, Du B, Estenssoro E, Ferrer R, Gomersall C, Hodgson C, Møller MH, Iwashyna T, Jacob S, Kleinpell R, Klompas M, Koh Y, Kumar A, Kwizera A, Lobo S, Masur H, McGloughlin S, Mehta S, Mehta Y, Mer M, Nunnally M, Oczkowski S, Osborn T, Papathanassoglou E, Perner A, Puskarich M, Roberts J, Schweickert W, Seckel M, Sevransky J, Sprung CL, Welte T, Zimmerman J, Levy M. Surviving sepsis campaign: international guidelines for management of sepsis and septic shock 2021. Intensive Care Med. 2021 Nov;47(11):1181-1247. doi: 10.1007/s00134-021-06506-y. Epub 2021 Oct 2. PMID: 34599691; PMCID: PMC8486643.
- Wu XW, Zheng T, Hong ZW, Ren HJ, Wu L, Wang GF, Gu GS, Ren JA. Current progress of source control in the management of intra-abdominal infections. Chin J Traumatol. 2020 Dec;23(6):311-313. doi: 10.1016/j.cjtee.2020.07.003. Epub 2020 Aug 3. PMID: 32863153; PMCID: PMC7718538.
- Abdel-Kader S, Sartelli M, Abu-Zidan FM. Complicated intra-abdominal infections: a prospective validation study of the WSES Sepsis Severity Score. Singapore Med J. 2019 Jun;60(6):317-321. doi: 10.11622/smedj.2018120. Epub 2018 Oct 10. PMID: 30311628; PMCID: PMC6595066.
- 22. Tolonen M, Coccolini F, Ansaloni L, Sartelli M, Roberts DJ, McKee JL, Leppaniemi A, Doig CJ, Catena F, Fabian T, Jenne CN, Chiara O, Kubes P, Kluger Y, Fraga GP, Pereira BM, Diaz JJ, Sugrue M, Moore EE, Ren J, Ball CG, Coimbra R, Dixon E, Biffl W, MacLean A, McBeth PB, Posadas-Calleja JG, Di Saverio S, Xiao J, Kirkpatrick AW; From the Closed Or Open after Laparotomy (COOL) for Source Control in Severe Complicated Intra-Abdominal Sepsis Investigators.

005

Getting the invite list right: a discussion of sepsis severity scoring systems in severe complicated intra-abdominal sepsis and randomized trial inclusion criteria. World J Emerg Surg. 2018 Apr 6;13:17. doi: 10.1186/s13017-018-0177-2. PMID: 29636790; PMCID: PMC5889572.

- 23. Sartelli M, Coccolini F, Kluger Y, Agastra E, Abu-Zidan FM, Abbas AES, Ansaloni L, Adesunkanmi AK, Atanasov B, Augustin G, Bala M, Baraket O, Baral S, Biffl WL, Boermeester MA, Ceresoli M, Cerutti E, Chiara O, Cicuttin E, Chiarugi M, Coimbra R, Colak E, Corsi D, Cortese F, Cui Y, Damaskos D, De' Angelis N, Delibegovic S, Demetrashvili Z, De Simone B, de Jonge SW, Dhingra S, Di Bella S, Di Marzo F, Di Saverio S, Dogjani A, Duane TM, Enani MA, Fugazzola P. Galante JM, Gachabayov M, Ghnnam W, Gkiokas G, Gomes CA, Griffiths EA, Hardcastle TC, Hecker A, Herzog T, Kabir SMU, Karamarkovic A, Khokha V, Kim PK, Kim JI, Kirkpatrick AW, Kong V, Koshy RM, Kryvoruchko IA, Inaba K, Isik A, Iskandar K, Ivatury R, Labricciosa FM, Lee YY, Leppäniemi A, Litvin A, Luppi D, Machain GM, Maier RV, Marinis A, Marmorale C, Marwah S, Mesina C, Moore EE, Moore FA, Negoi I, Olaoye I, Ordoñez CA, Ouadii M, Peitzman AB, Perrone G, Pikoulis M, Pintar T, Pipitone G, Podda M, Raşa K, Ribeiro J, Rodrigues G, Rubio-Perez I, Sall I, Sato N, Sawyer RG, Segovia Lohse H, Sganga G, Shelat VG, Stephens I, Sugrue M, Tarasconi A, Tochie JN, Tolonen M, Tomadze G, Ulrych J, Vereczkei A, Viaggi B, Gurioli C, Casella C, Pagani L, Baiocchi GL, Catena F. WSES/GAIS/SIS-E/WSIS/AAST global clinical pathways for patients with intra-abdominal infections. World J Emerg Surg. 2021 Sep 25:16(1):49. doi: 10.1186/s13017-021-00387-8. PMID: 34563232; PMCID: PMC8467193.
- 24. Rüddel H, Thomas-Rüddel DO, Reinhart K, Bach F, Gerlach H, Lindner M, Marshall JC, Simon P, Weiss M, Bloos F, Schwarzkopf D; MEDUSA study group. Adverse effects of delayed antimicrobial treatment and surgical source control in adults with sepsis: results of a planned secondary analysis of a cluster-randomized controlled trial. Crit Care. 2022 Feb 28;26(1):51. doi: 10.1186/s13054-022-03901-9. PMID: 35227308; PMCID: PMC8883454.
- Nannan Panday RS, Lammers EMJ, Alam N, Nanayakkara PWB. An overview of positive cultures and clinical outcomes in septic patients: a sub-analysis of the Prehospital Antibiotics Against Sepsis (PHANTASi) trial. Crit Care. 2019 May 21;23(1):182. doi: 10.1186/s13054-019-2431-8. PMID: 31113475; PMCID: PMC6530106.
- Sim J, Hong SS, Kwak JY, Jung YT. Prediction of culture-positive sepsis and selection of empiric antibiotics in critically ill patients with complicated intraabdominal infections: a retrospective study. Eur J Trauma Emerg Surg. 2022 Apr;48(2):963-971. doi: 10.1007/s00068-020-01535-6. Epub 2020 Nov 3. PMID: 33145617; PMCID: PMC7609359.
- Brand M, Grieve A. Prophylactic antibiotics for penetrating abdominal trauma. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2019 Dec 12;12(12):CD007370. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD007370.pub4. PMID: 31830316; PMCID: PMC6907398.
- Gupta S, Sakhuja A, Kumar G, McGrath E, Nanchal RS, Kashani KB. Culture-Negative Severe Sepsis: Nationwide Trends and Outcomes. Chest. 2016 Dec;150(6):1251-1259. doi: 10.1016/j.chest.2016.08.1460. Epub 2016 Sep 9. PMID: 27615024.
- 29. Park CH, Lee JW, Lee HJ, Oh DK, Park MH, Lim CM, Hong SK; Korean Sepsis Alliance (KSA) investigators. Clinical outcomes and prognostic factors of patients with sepsis caused by intra-abdominal infection in the intensive care unit: a post-hoc analysis of a prospective cohort study in Korea. BMC Infect Dis. 2022 Dec 19;22(1):953. doi: 10.1186/s12879-022-07837-x. PMID: 36536308; PMCID: PMC9764519.
- Hernández G, Cavalcanti AB, Ospina-Tascón G, Zampieri FG, Dubin A, Hurtado FJ, Friedman G, Castro R, Alegría L, Cecconi M, Teboul JL, Bakker J; ANDROMEDA-SHOCK Study Investigators. Early goal-directed therapy using a physiological holistic view: the ANDROMEDA-SHOCK-a randomized controlled trial. Ann Intensive Care. 2018 Apr 23;8(1):52. doi: 10.1186/s13613-018-0398-2. PMID: 29687277; PMCID: PMC5913056.
- Musikatavorn K, Plitawanon P, Lumlertgul S, Narajeenron K, Rojanasarntikul D, Tarapan T, Saoraya J. Randomized Controlled Trial of Ultrasound\_ guided Fluid Resuscitation of Sepsis-Induced Hypoperfusion and Septic Shock. West J Emerg Med. 2021 Feb 10;22(2):369-378. doi: 10.5811/ westjem.2020.11.48571. PMID: 33856325; PMCID: PMC7972359.

32. Niederman MS, Baron RM, Bouadma L, Calandra T, Daneman N, DeWaele J, Kollef MH, Lipman J, Nair GB. Initial antimicrobial management of sepsis. Crit Care. 2021 Aug 26;25(1):307. doi: 10.1186/s13054-021-03736-w. PMID: 34446092; PMCID: PMC8390082.\

9

- 33. Ibrahim MM, Abuelmatty AM, Mohamed GH, Nasr MA, Hussein AK, Ebaed MED, Sarhan HA. Best tigecycline dosing for treatment of infections caused by multidrug-resistant pathogens in critically ill patients with different body weights. Drug Des Devel Ther. 2018 Dec 7;12:4171-4179. doi: 10.2147/DDDT. S181834. PMID: 30584281; PMCID: PMC6290867.
- 34. Montravers P, Tubach F, Lescot T, Veber B, Esposito-Farèse M, Seguin P, Paugam C, Lepape A, Meistelman C, Cousson J, Tesniere A, Plantefeve G, Blasco G, Asehnoune K, Jaber S, Lasocki S, Dupont H; DURAPOP Trial Group. Short-course antibiotic therapy for critically ill patients treated for postoperative intra-abdominal infection: the DURAPOP randomised clinical trial. Intensive Care Med. 2018 Mar;44(3):300-310. doi: 10.1007/s00134-018-5088-x. Epub 2018 Feb 26. PMID: 29484469.
- 35. Surat G, Meyer-Sautter P, Rüsch J, Braun-Feldweg J, Germer CT, Lock JF. Comparison of Duration and Empiric Antibiotic Choice of Post-Operative Treatment in Abdominal Sepsis. Surg Infect (Larchmt). 2022 Jun;23(5):444-450. doi: 10.1089/sur.2021.344. Epub 2022 May 9. PMID: 35532964.
- 36. van de Groep K, Verhoeff TL, Verboom DM, Bos LD, Schultz MJ, Bonten MJM, Cremer OL; MARS consortium. Epidemiology and outcomes of source control procedures in critically ill patients with intra-abdominal infection. J Crit Care. 2019 Aug;52:258-264. doi: 10.1016/j.jcrc.2019.02.029. Epub 2019 May 1. PMID: 31054787.
- Levin DC, Eschelman D, Parker L, Rao VM. Trends in Use of Percutaneous Versus Open Surgical Drainage of Abdominal Abscesses. J Am Coll Radiol. 2015 Dec;12(12 Pt A):1247-50. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2015.06.015. PMID: 26653832.
- Prete F, De Luca GM, Pasculli A, Di Meo G, Poli E, Sgaramella LI, Panzera P, Vittore F, Filoia A, Catena F, Testini M, Gurrado A. Retrospective Study of Indications and Outcomes of Open Abdomen with Negative Pressure Wound Therapy Technique for Abdominal Sepsis in a Tertiary Referral Centre. Antibiotics (Basel). 2022 Oct 28;11(1):1498. doi: 10.3390/antibiotics11111498. PMID: 36358153; PMCID: PMC9686976
- 39. Wu XW, Zheng T, Hong ZW, Ren HJ, Wu L, Wang GF, Gu GS, Ren JA. Current progress of source control in the management of intra-abdominal infections. Chin J Traumatol. 2020 Dec;23(6):311-313. doi: 10.1016/j.cjtee.2020.07.003. Epub 2020 Aug 3. PMID: 32863153; PMCID: PMC7718538.
- 40. Cirocchi R, Popivanov G, Konaktchieva M, Chipeva S, Tellan G, Mingoli A, Zago M, Chiarugi M, Binda GA, Kafka R, Anania G, Donini A, Nascimbeni R, Edilbe M, Afshar S. The role of damage control surgery in the treatment of perforated colonic diverticulitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Colorectal Dis. 2021 May;36(5):867-879. doi: 10.1007/s00384-020-03784-8. Epub 2020 Oct 22. PMID: 33089382; PMCID: PMC8026449.
- 41. Bustos-Guerrero AM, Guerrero-Macías SI, Manrique-Hernández EF z. Factores asociados a sepsis abdominal en pacientes con laparotomía por trauma abdominal penetrante. Revista Colombiana de Cirugía. 2021 Apr 14;36(3):493–8. https://doi.org/10.30944/20117582.842
- 42. Rausei S, Pappalardo V, Ruspi L, Colella A, Giudici S, Ardita V, Frattini F, Rovera F, Boni L, Dionigi G. Early Versus Delayed Source Control in Open Abdomen Management for Severe Intra-abdominal Infections: A Retrospective Analysis on 111 Cases. World J Surg. 2018 Mar;42(3):707-712. doi: 10.1007/s00268-017-4233-y. PMID: 28936682.
- 43. Yasin NA, Ali AM, Ahmed MA, Keleşoğlu Sİ. Epidemiological Characteristics, Antimicrobial Susceptibility Profile, and Surgical Outcome of Complicated Intra-Abdominal Infection at a Tertiary Hospital in Somalia. Int J Gen Med. 2022 Sep 22;15:7427-7434. doi: 10.2147/IJGM.S379711. PMID: 36172084; PMCID: PMC9512019.
- 44. El-Tamalawy MM, Soliman MM, Omara AF, Rashad A, Ibrahim OM, El-006

Shishtawy MM. Efficacy and Safety of Neostigmine Adjunctive Therapy in Patients With Sepsis or Septic Shock: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Front Pharmacol. 2022 Mar 7;13:855764. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2022.855764. PMID: 35330830; PMCID: PMC8940304.

- 45. Pinder N, Bruckner T, Lehmann M, Motsch J, Brenner T, Larmann J, Knebel P, Hoppe-Tichy T, Swoboda S, Weigand MA, Hofer S, Zimmermann JB. Effect of physostigmine on recovery from septic shock following intra-abdominal infection Results from a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, monocentric pilot trial (Anticholium® per Se). J Crit Care. 2019 Aug;52:126-135. doi: 10.1016/j.jcrc.2019.04.012. Epub 2019 Apr 9. PMID: 31035187.
- 46. Obi J, Pastores SM, Ramanathan LV, Yang J, Halpern NA. Treating sepsis with vitamin C, thiamine, and hydrocortisone: Exploring the quest for the magic elixir. J Crit Care. 2020 Jun;57:231-239. doi: 10.1016/j.jcrc.2019.12.011. Epub 2020 Jan 8. PMID: 32061462; PMCID: PMC8254386.
- 47. Fujii T, Salanti G, Belletti A, Bellomo R, Carr A, Furukawa TA, Luethi N, Luo Y, Putzu A, Sartini C, Tsujimoto Y, Udy AA, Yanase F, Young PJ. Effect of adjunctive vitamin C, glucocorticoids, and vitamin B1 on longer-term mortality in adults with sepsis or septic shock: a systematic review and a component network meta-analysis. Intensive Care Med. 2022 Jan;48(1):16-24. doi: 10.1007/s00134-021-06558-0. Epub 2021 Nov 9. PMID: 34750650; PMCID: PMC8724116.
- Zhao Y, Ding C. Effects of Hydrocortisone on Regulating Inflammation, Hemodynamic Stability, and Preventing Shock in Severe Sepsis Patients. Med

Sci Monit. 2018 May 30;24:3612-3619. doi: 10.12659/MSM.906208. PMID: 29845974; PMCID: PMC6004931.

- Marik PE. The role of glucocorticoids as adjunctive treatment for sepsis in the modern era. Lancet Respir Med. 2018 Oct;6(10):793-800. doi: 10.1016/S2213-2600(18)30265-0. Epub 2018 Jul 11. PMID: 30006071.
- 50. Sevransky JE, Rothman RE, Hager DN, Bernard GR, Brown SM, Buchman TG, Busse LW, Coopersmith CM, DeWilde C, Ely EW, Eyzaguirre LM, Fowler AA, Gaieski DF, Gong MN, Hall A, Hinson JS, Hooper MH, Kelen GD, Khan A, Levine MA, Lewis RJ, Lindsell CJ, Marlin JS, McGlothlin A, Moore BL, Nugent KL, Nwosu S, Polito CC, Rice TW, Ricketts EP, Rudolph CC, Sanfilippo F, Viele K, Martin GS, Wright DW; VICTAS Investigators. Effect of Vitamin C, Thiamine, and Hydrocortisone on Ventilator- and Vasopressor-Free Days in Patients With Sepsis: The VICTAS Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA. 2021 Feb 23;325(8):742-750. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.24505. Erratum in: JAMA. 2021 Sep 21;326(11):1072. PMID: 33620405; PMCID: PMC7903252.
- 51. Fujii T, Luethi N, Young PJ, Frei DR, Eastwood GM, French CJ, Deane AM, Shehabi Y, Hajjar LA, Oliveira G, Udy AA, Orford N, Edney SJ, Hunt AL, Judd HL, Bitker L, Cioccari L, Naorungroj T, Yanase F, Bates S, McGain F, Hudson EP, Al-Bassam W, Dwivedi DB, Peppin C, McCracken P, Orosz J, Bailey M, Bellomo R; VITAMINS Trial Investigators. Effect of Vitamin C, Hydrocortisone, and Thiamine vs Hydrocortisone Alone on Time Alive and Free of Vasopressor Support Among Patients With Septic Shock: The VITAMINS Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA. 2020 Feb 4;323(5):423-431. doi: 10.1001/ jama.2019.22176. PMID: 31950979; PMCID: PMC7029761.

#### Discover a bigger Impact and Visibility of your article publication with Peertechz Publications

#### Highlights

- Signatory publisher of ORCID
- Signatory Publisher of DORA (San Francisco Declaration on Research Assessment)
- Articles archived in worlds' renowned service providers such as Portico, CNKI, AGRIS, TDNet, Base (Bielefeld University Library), CrossRef, Scilit, J-Gate etc.
- Journals indexed in ICMJE, SHERPA/ROMEO, Google Scholar etc.
- OAI-PMH (Open Archives Initiative Protocol for Metadata Harvesting)
- Dedicated Editorial Board for every journal
- Accurate and rapid peer-review process
- Increased citations of published articles through promotions
- Reduced timeline for article publication

## Submit your articles and experience a new surge in publication services (https://www.peertechz.com/submission).

Peertechz journals wishes everlasting success in your every endeayours.

007